#### A Comparative Study of Occurrence and Non-Occurrence of Subject in Japanese and English

from the Perspectives of Syntax, Discourse Pragmatics and Culture

Miyabi Ozawa

### First of all...

Umare sodatta heya de konnna ni chanto jikan ga sugite watashi dake ga iru nante odorokida.

The fact that time continued to pass in the usual way in this apartment where I grew up, even though now I was here all alone, amazed me. (Banana Yoshimoto, Kitchen)

For explaining the same situation, while Japanese does not have subject, English has subjects.

Why the Japanese sentence does not have any subject and English sentence has subjects?

In my graduate thesis, this issue is explored from two points of view:

PART1 Syntactic point of view

PART2 Pragmatic point of view

### Data

- The written data
- Two Japanese novels and their translations
- Soseki Natsume, Botchan
- Banana Yoshimoto, Kitchen

# The spoken dataNarrative of Mister O Corpus

# PART1 Syntactic Study

# **Previous Studies**

Do-language and Become-language <English>

He was surprised.

Something surprised him.

<Japanese>

Kare wa odorokasareta.

Kare wa nanika ni yotte odorokasareta.

The notion of the agent is Japanese<English

Topic-Comment VS Subject-Verb

- <Japanese>
- Zou wa hana ga nagai.
- <English>
- Elephants (topic), noses are long.
  - Li and Thompson (1976)

# Analysis

Soko kara kou ochisou ni narimashite, ma hijyo ni kiken na jyotai ni naru n desu keredo mo (J03 Nar.1.1 011 underlines added)

"He was nearly falling down from there, so the situation became very dangerous."

(Translated by the author)

#### Caracteristic Content - Content -

And once he gets to the other side he realizes he makes it, so you see happiness on his face. And then, it ends with him being just excited. That's a good smile.

(E03 Nar.1 018-020 underlines added)

# **PART2** Pragmatics Study

# **Previous Studies**

□ (1) Discourse tendency

	Japanese	English
The reference of representing a new character	Noun phrase (e.g. Shirokun)	Noun phrase (e.g, Mochi)
The reference of representing a character as an old information	Non-occurrence of subject	Pronoun (e.g. he, she, it)
Time to establish a character as an old information	longer	shorter

Clancy (1980)

### (2) Perceptual difference

- □ Japanese: the perspective of "an insect"
- English: the perspective of "God"

Kanaya (2004)



#### Discourse tendency

The percentage of referential choice in English narratives

The percentage of referential choice in Japanese narratives



#### Sector State - Stat

Etto sono Maru kun ga hi, hitoride, chotto, aruiteitandesu keredomo, de, sorede, michi de, etto, nanika wo mitsuketandesuyo. De, sore ga ki no bou de, sore, nanika ni tsukaenai kanaa tte, sono, character wa omoimashite, de, sorede, de chotto nayande, hirameite, de, sono, hirameitatte iu koto ga etto desune, chotto, gake ga arundesuyo, mou chotto iku to, sono michi no saki niwa de,....

(Mister O Corpus, J09 Nar.2 002-0011)

 <English translation of the Japanese narratives>
"Well, we named the character Maru-kun. And Maru-kun was walking alone, and he found something on the road. That was a wooden stick, and he was wondering if he could use it for something. After thinking for a while, he hit upon the idea. There was a cliff as he continued, ...

#### Caracteristic Constraints and Car

So there's a little white ball guy walking along, and he comes to a cliff. Un, he's trying to think of how to get across. So he goes back and he finds a small little grey guy, and they decide to go across together with the little grey guy on top of the white guy's head. But whenever he jumps, the white guy does a back-flip, and lands on the little grey guy, squishing him.

(E02 Nar.1 001-004 underlines added)

- Perspectives
- Intervise of the second state of the second
  - □ (J06 Nar.1 005 underlines added)
- <English narratives>
- And he said, 'Why don't you ride on my head?' So they tried to jump, but it wasn't strong enough, or...whatever.

(E02 Nar.02 004-005)

# Conclusion

Japanese does not necessarily request subject.

- English needs subject.
- □ From syntactic point of view, we have seen...
  - Do-language and Become-language
- Subject prominent language and Topic
- prominent language
- □ From pragmatics point of view, we have seen...
  - Discourse tendency
  - Perceptual difference

# References

- Clancy, Patricia M. 1980. Referential choice in English and Japanese narrative discourse. In Wallace L. Chafe. (ed.), The pear stories: Cognitive, cultural, and linguistic aspects of narrative production. Norwood, N.J.: Ablex Publishing. Corporation. 127-202.
- Hasegawa, Yoko and Yukio Hirose. 2010. Nihongo kara mita nihonzin: Syutaisei no gengo gaku [Japanese people seen through Japanese language: Linguistics of subjectivity]. Tokyo: Kaitakusha.
- Hinds, John. 1982. Ellipsis in Japanese. Carbondale and Edmonton: Linguistic Research, Inc.
- Ide, Sachiko. 2006. Wakimae no goyouron [Pragmatics of wakimae]. Tokyo: Taisyukan shoten. 204-229.
- Ikegami, Yoshihiko. 1991. 'DO-language' and 'BECOME-language': Two contrasting types of linguistic representation. In Yoshihiko Ikegami (ed.), The Empire of Signs 8. 285-326.
- Kanaya, Takahiro. 2002. Nihongo ni shyugo wa iranai [Japanese language does not need a subject]. Tokyo: Kodansha
- -----. 2004. Eigo ni mo shyugo wa nakatta [There is no subject in English either]. Tokyo: Kodansha.
- Li, Charles N. and Sandra A. Thompson. 1976. Subject and topic: A new typology of language. In Charles N. Li and Sandra A. Thompson (eds.), Subject and topic. 457-489.
- Natsume, Soseki. 1950. Botchan. Tokyo: Shinchosha.
- -----. 1968. Botchan, trans. by Umeji Sasaki. Tokyo: Charles E. Tuttle Company, Inc.
- -----. 2006. Botchan, trans. by R.F. Zufelt. Tokyo: IBC.
- Shinchosha. 1988. Kitchen. Tokyo: Shinchosha.
- -----. 1993. Kitchen, trans. by Megan Backus. New York: Grove Press.